# *AMENDED* 

AGENDA
Tulsa County Board of Adjustment
Regularly Scheduled Meeting
Tuesday April 19, 2022, 1:30 p.m.
Williams Tower I
1 West 3rd Street, St. Francis Room
Meeting No. 506

## INTRODUCTION AND NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

At this Meeting the Board of Adjustment, in accord with and pursuant to applicable Board of Adjustment Policies and Procedures, will review, consider, discuss, and may take action on, approve, amend, modify, approve with amendment(s) or modification(s), deny, reject, or defer any action on any item listed on this Agenda.

Review and possible approval, approval with modifications, denial or deferral of the following:

1. Approval of Minutes of March 15, 2022 (Meeting No. 505)

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

2. 2951- Rigoberto Gomez

Special Exception to allow for a rodeo facility (Use Unit 20) in an AG District (Section 310); and a Variance from the all-weather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D)
LOCATION: 11201 North 41st Avenue East

## NEW APPLICATIONS

Review and possible approval, approval with modifications, denial or deferral of the following:
3. 2957- Tom Neal

Special Exception to allow a duplex in an RS district (Sec. 410, Table 1);
Variance to allow three dwelling units on a single lot of record in the RS district
(Sec. 208); and Variance of the required setback in the front yard and yard abutting a public street in the RS district (Sec. 430, Table 3)
LOCATION: 601 S 65 AV W

## 4. 2958- Joel Irvine

Variance of the minimum land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record (Section 330); Variance of the land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to temporarily allow three dwelling units on one lot of record during construction (Section 330 and Section 208).
LOCATION: 3117 W 111 St S
5. 2959- Jason Marietta

Modification of a previously approved Special Exception (CBOA-2881) to extend the time limitation and variance from the all-weather parking requirement for a fireworks stand in a RE district (Section 410).
LOCATION: East of the northeast corner of North 129th East Avenue \& East 106th Street North
6. 2960- Wake Wireless

Special Exception to permit a 150 ft. Wireless Communications Tower (Use Unit 4 - Public Protection and Utility Facilities) in an AG district (Section 1204.3); and a Special Exception to reduce the required tower setback (110\% of the tower height) from 165' to 10' 8" from the adjoining residential lot and from 165' to 92' from the adjoining agricultural lot (Section 1204.3C.7.a).
LOCATION: South of the southeast corner of East 146th Street North and North Yale Avenue
7. 2961- Brett Batson

Variance of the minimum lot area, land area per dwelling unit, and lot width in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record. (Section 330, Table 3) LOCATION: 11406 S 26 AV W

## 8. 2962- Heath Conley

Use Variance to permit Use Unit 15, Other Goods and Services, to allow a Woodworking Shop in an AG district (Section 1215); Use Variance to permit Use Unit 14, Shopping Goods and Services, to allow retail sales of reclaimed lumber in an AG district; and a Variance from the all-weather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D)
LOCATION: East of the southeast corner of North Lewis Avenue \& East 186th Street North

## OTHER BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

## BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

## ADJOURNMENT

Website: tulsaplanning.org E-mail: esubmit@incog.org
If you require special accommodations pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, please call 918-584-7526.

NOTE: Exhibits, Petitions, Pictures, etc., presented to the Board of Adjustment may be received and deposited in case files to be maintained at the Tulsa Planning Office at INCOG. All electronic devices must be silenced during the Board of Adjustment meeting.
NOTE: This agenda is for informational purposes only and is not an official posting. Please contact the Tulsa Planning Office at 918-584-7526 if you require an official posted agenda.



# TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CASE REPORT 

TRS: 1309
CZM: 10

CASE NUMBER: CBOA-2951
CASE REPORT PREPARED BY: Robi Jones

HEARING DATE: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM
APPLICANT: Rigoberto Gomez
ACTION REQUESTED: Special Exception to allow for a rodeo facility (Use Unit 20) in an AG district (Section 310); and a Variance of the all-weather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D).

LOCATION: 11201 N 41 AVE
ZONED: AG
FENCELINE: North Tulsa County
PRESENT USE: Vacant
TRACT SIZE: 25.19 acres
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: W/2 SE NW LESS N396 \& S768 E200 E/2 SE NW \& S509 W427 E627 E/2 E/2 NW \& W33 S377 E/2 SE NW SEC 92113 15.197ACS; S244 N811 E427 W460 E/2 SE NW SEC 92113 2.392ACS,

RELEVANT PREVIOUS ACTIONS: None Relevant
ANALYSIS OF SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is surrounded by AG zoning with what appears to be agricultural uses with a smattering of residential uses.

## STAFF COMMENTS:

New Staff Comments: The case was continued to April 19, 2022, so that a re-notice could be sent out to inform surrounding neighbors of an additional parcel that was added to the request.

Original Staff Comments: The applicant is before the Board requesting a Special Exception to allow for a rodeo facility (Use Unit 20) in an AG District (Section 310); and a Variance from the all-weather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D).

The Code requires all parking areas be paved with an all-weather material to maintain a minimum level of aesthetics, and to control air-borne particulates like dust. The applicant has requested a variance to allow a gravel or dirt parking area on the site. The applicant provided the following statement related to the Variance request: "Hard to do concrete for drains." According to the site plan, traffic will enter from East 116th Street North on an existing gravel driveway.

The site will include an outdoor arena, stands, kitchen, stalls, a building, and a house. According to the applicant, the site has been used for rodeos in the past. They do not have access to a public street. The applicant is looking into whether there is a legal access easement. He is also reaching out to his neighbors to get letters of support.

If inclined to approve, the Board may consider any condition it deems necessary and reasonably related to the request to ensure that the current and future use of the property is compatible with the surrounding area.

Sample Motion:
"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Special Exception to allow for a rodeo facility (Use Unit 20) in an AG District (Section 310);

Per the Conceptual Plan(s) shown on page(s) $\qquad$ of the agenda packet.

Subject to the following conditions (including time limitation, if any): $\qquad$ .

Finding the Special Exception will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code, and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Variance from the all-weather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D);

Subject to the following conditions, if any: $\qquad$ .

Finding the hardship to be $\qquad$ .

Finding by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan."

 Tract


## Jones, Robi

| From: | MARK PARKER [tazfix60@icloud.com](mailto:tazfix60@icloud.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, March 14, 2022 10:36 AM |
| To: | Jones, Robi |
| Subject: | Case no. CBOA-2951 |
| Attachments: | IMG_0142.HEIC; IMG_0137.HEIC; IMG_0140.HEIC; IMG_0143.HEIC; IMG_0138.HEIC; IMG_ |
|  | 0141. HEIC; IMG_0136.HEIC; IMG_0139.HEIC |

This is Mark A Parker, I called you this morning about sending the paperwork I had for case no CBOA-2951


## - AMANDA BLAND, World Staff Writer

Boy stepped on by horse at rodeo dies of injuries
SPERRY - A child was killed when he was bucked off a horse that then stepped on him near Sperry on Sunday.

The 6-year-old boy, whose identity has not been released, was at a nonsanctioned rodeo in the 4400 block of East 116th Street North on Sunday evening, Tulsa County Sheriffs Sgt. Shannon Clark said.

Witnesses told paramedics and security officials that the boy was on a horse on the east side of the arena when the horse reared up and fell on the child, Clark said.

As the horse was standing up, it stepped on the boy's chest, Clark said.
Sperry police officers, firefighters and paramedics responded, and the child was flown to St. Francis Hospital in Tulsa, where he was pronounced dead, Clark said.


The boy was taken by Lifeflight helicopter to St. Francis Hospital in Tulsa where he was pronounced dead on arrival.

The name of the boy was not released, pending notification of next of kin.
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Start the conversation
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Daily Pledge: Mrs. Mackey's PreK3 Class

News On 6
Mrs. Mackey's pre-k class from Legacy
Christian School recites the pledge of alle-
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## CASE NOCBOA-295I

| Watch Live: 6 In The Morning |
| :--- |
| A $34 /$ Magnitude Earthquake was reported near 12 km E of Crowder, Oklahoma at 6.20 AM |
| LIVE |
| NOW |

Monday, August 22nd 2011, 1:02 pm By: News On 6


More Like This


Six-Year-Old Boy Killed At Rodeo Near Skiatook

News On 6
A six-year-old boy was crushed by a horse
at a rodeo near Skiatook Sunday night.


D Taste Test Tuesday: Whataburger Spicy Ketchup Hot Sauce

News On 6
This week the Six In The Morning Team
tries out some Spicy Ketchup Hot Sauce - First Omicron Variant Case Detected In Oklahoma

News On 6
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|  | Case Number: CBOA-2957 <br> Hearing Date: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Case Report Prepared by: <br> Robi Jones | Owner and Applicant Information: <br> Applicant: Tom Neal <br> Property Owner: LARGE, TANA |
| Action Requested: Special Exception to allow a duplex in an RS district (Sec. 410, Table 1); Variance to allow three dwelling units on a single lot of record in the RS district (Sec. 208); and Variance of the required setback in the front yard and yard abutting a public street in the RS district (Sec. 430, Table 3) |  |
| Location Map: | Additional Information: <br> Present Use: Residential <br> Tract Size: 0.47 acres <br> Location: 601 S 65 AV W <br> Present Zoning: RS <br> Fenceline/Area: West Central Tulsa <br> Land Use Designation: <br> Rural Residential/Agricultural |



# TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> CASE REPORT 

TRS: 9205
CZM: 35

CASE NUMBER: CBOA-2957
CASE REPORT PREPARED BY: Robi Jones

HEARING DATE: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM
APPLICANT: Tom Neal
ACTION REQUESTED: Special Exception to allow a duplex in an RS district (Sec. 410, Table 1); Variance to allow three dwelling units on a single lot of record in the RS district (Sec. 208); and Variance of the required setback in the front yard and yard abutting a public street in the RS district (Sec. 430, Table 3)

LOCATION: 601 S 65 AV W
FENCELINE: West Central Tulsa
PRESENT USE: Residential
ZONED: RS

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: LT 35, PARTRIDGE SUB

## RELEVANT PREVIOUS ACTIONS:

Subject Property: None relevant
Surrounding Property:
CBOA-176 April 1982: The Board approved a Special Exception to locate a mobile home is an RS district; and a Variance to allow two dwelling units on a lot of record, on property located at 473 South $65^{\text {th }}$ Avenue West.


#### Abstract

ANALYSIS OF SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is surrounded by RS zoning. Parcels to the north, east, and south appear to have residential uses and property to the west appears to be vacant or have an agricultural use.


## STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant is requesting a Special Exception to allow a duplex in an RS district (Sec. 410, Table 1); Variance to allow three dwelling units on a single lot of record in the RS district (Sec. 208); and Variance of the required setback in the front yard and yard abutting a public street in the RS district (Sec. 430, Table 3).

According to the applicant, the dwellings have existed since 1935 so would be considered non-conforming. Section 1420 states the following:
A. No building devoted to a nonconforming use shall be enlarged or extended, except in changing the use of the building to a use permitted in the district in which it is located.
D. A nonconforming use of a building, or building and land in combination, if discontinued for 36 consecutive months or for 36 months during any four year period, (except when governmental action impedes access to or the use of the premises) shall not therafter be resumed.

The applicant provided the following statement: "Property has been multi-family (3 units) since built in the mid 1930s. RS zoning came later. Owners would like to continue actual use."

A Special Exception is required as the duplex use is not permitted by right in the RS district because of potential adverse affect, but which if controlled in the particular instance as to its relationship to the neighborhood and to the general welfare, may be permitted. The duplex use must be found to be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.

Additionally, the Tulsa County Zoning Code does not permit more than one dwelling on a lot located in an RS (Residential Single-Family) district. For this reason, the applicant is asking to allow three dwelling units on a single lot of record. The parcel is 0.47 acres in size.

The applicant is also requesting a Variance of the required setback in the front yard and yard abutting a public street in the RS district. Section 430, Table 3 states the following:


South 65th West Avenue is considered a Secondary Arterial in the Major Street and Highway Plan. The minimum Right-of-Way is 100 feet. At this time, South $65^{\text {th }}$ West Avenue has 70 feet of Right-of-Way. West $6^{\text {th }}$ Street South is not listed in the Major Street and Highway Plan.


The front yard setback from South $65^{\text {th }}$ Avenue West, as measured from the centerline, is 35 feet +50 feet $=85$ feet for the required setback. The existing home ( 1935 Little House) is 53 feet from the centerline. The yard abutting a public street (West 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street South), as measured from the centerline, is 25 feet +25 feet $=50$ feet for the required setback. The home ( 1935 Little House) is 27 feet from the centerline. The duplex (1935 One over One Duplex) appears to meet all the setback requirements.

If inclined to approve the Board may consider any condition it deems necessary and reasonably related to the request to ensure that the proposed use and future development of the subject property is compatible with and non-injurious to the surrounding area.

Sample Motion:
"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Special Exception to allow a duplex in an RS district (Sec. 410, Table 1).

Approved per conceptual plan on page $\qquad$ of the agenda packet.

Subject to the following conditions (including time limitation, if any): $\qquad$ .

Finding the Special Exception will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code, and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.
"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Variance to allow three dwelling units on a single lot of record in the RS district (Sec. 208); and Variance of the required setback in the front yard and yard abutting a public street in the RS district (Sec. 430, Table 3).

Per the Conceptual Plan(s) shown on page(s) $\qquad$ of the agenda packet.

Subject to the following conditions, if any: $\qquad$ .

Finding the hardship to be $\qquad$ .

Finding by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan."

Case No. 176
Action Requested:
Special Exception - Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted in Residential Districts - Request to locate a mobile home in an RS District; and a Variance - Section 208 - One Single-Family Dwelling Per Lot of Record Request for a variance to allow 2 dwelling units on a lot of record. This property is located at 473 South 65th West Avenue.

## Presentation:

Judith Ford, 472 South 65th West Avenue, was present to address the Board requesting permission for a mobile home to be placed on the subject property. Ms. Ford's sister will be living in the mobile home and it will not be rented to anyone else. There is a septic tank and gas hookup on the subject property.

Protestants: None.
Board Comments:
Mr. Martin asked if there were other mobile homes in the surrounding area and Ms. Ford stated that there are 6 mobile homes within $300^{\prime}$.

Board Action:
On MOTION of WALKER and SECOND by TYNDALL, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Martin, Tyndall, Walker, Wines, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Dubie, "absent") to approve a Special Exception (Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted in Residential Districts) to locate a mobile home in an RS District; and a Variance (Section 208-One Single-Family Dwelling Per Lot of Record) to allow two (2) dwelling units on a lot of record, subject to the occupancy being limited to the one family stated above (Ms. Ford's sister), on the following described property:

The South 100 feet of Lot 29, Partridge Addition, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 178
Action Requested:
Variance - Section 910 - PrincipaT Uses Permitted in Industrial DistrictsRequest for a variance to allow a mobile home in an Industrial District. This property is located at the NW corner of North Peoria and 56th Street North.

## Presentation:

Barbara Wells, 5602 North Peoria Avenue, was present to address the Board requesting permission to place her mobile home on the subject property for security reasons. She owns a service station at the corner of 56 th Street and North Peoria Avenue and would like to have the mobile home on the property to watch over the station.

Protestants: None.
Board Comments:
Mr. Martin asked if there were other mobile homes in the surrounding area and Ms. Wells stated that there were none in that area.

Board Action:
On MOTION of WALKER and SECOND by TYNDALL, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Martin, Tyndall, Walker, Wines, "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Dubie 4.16.82:20(11)



|  | Feet |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 200 | 400 |
|  |  |  |




Looking east toward both dwelling units from S. 65th W. Ave.
Google Earth - Jan. 2022


Looking west from W. 6th St. S.
Google Earth - Jan. 2016



| Board of Adjustment | Case Number: CBOA-2958 <br> Hearing Date: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Case Report Prepared by: Robi Jones | Owner and Applicant Information: <br> Applicant: Joel Irvine <br> Property Owner: IRVINE, JOEL A |
| Action Requested: Variance of the minimum land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record (Section 330); Variance of the land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to temporarily allow three dwelling units on one lot of record during construction (Section 330 and Section 208). |  |
| Location Map: | Additional Information: <br> Present Use: Residential <br> Tract Size: 2.02 acres <br> Location: 3117 W 111 ST S <br> Present Zoning: AG <br> Fenceline/Area: Jenks <br> Land Use Designation: Medium Intensity Single-family |
| Tulsa County Comprehensive Land Use Plan |  |



# TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> CASE REPORT 

TRS: 8227
CZM: 55

CASE NUMBER: CBOA-2958
CASE REPORT PREPARED BY: Robi Jones

HEARING DATE: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM
APPLICANT: Joel Irvine
ACTION REQUESTED: Variance of the minimum land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record (Section 330); Variance of the land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to temporarily allow three dwelling units on one lot of record during construction (Section 330 and Section 208).

LOCATION: 3117 W 111 ST S
ZONED: AG
FENCELINE: Jenks
PRESENT USE: Residential
TRACT SIZE: 2.02 acres
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: BEG 1557.83E SWC TH N450 E220 S450 W220 LESS S50 THEREOF SEC 2718 12 2.02ACS,

## RELEVANT PREVIOUS ACTIONS:

## Subject Property:

BOA-10794 November 1979: The Board approved a Special Exception to locate a mobile home in the AG district, for a period of five years, on property located $1 / 4$ mile east of 33 rd West Avenue and $111^{\text {th }}$ Street South (subject property).

ANALYSIS OF SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is surrounded by AG zoning and the uses appear to be residential.

## STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant is before the Board requesting a Variance of the minimum land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record (Section 330); and a Variance of the land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to temporarily allow three dwelling units on one lot of record during construction (Section 330 and Section 208).

The applicant provided the following statement:
"Need to change from 2, 30-year-old, trailers to more solid structures. The owner is attempting to provide for his parents who are elderly and not physical stable. Need to get them into a ground level living arrangement. The house and shopdominium will be built of high-quality materials and will improve the look of the area. In rural areas properties often have a house and a large barn or shop. Adding living quarters to the shop will not detract from the view to the neighboring areas but will improve it once the trailers are removed."

The applicant would like to build two new dwelling units. According to the site plan, there will be a main house and a shopdominium with living quarters for aging parents. There is an existing trailer on the property that they wish to have remain in place throughout the construction period.

Section 208 states: No more than one single-family dwelling or mobile home may be constructed or otherwise placed on a lot, except in the case of a lot which is within an approved PUD, in an RMH district, or in an AG district, with the exception in the AG district that there be no more than two dwellings per lot. The applicant would be allowed by right to have two homes on the property if they met the bulk and area requirements.

Section 330, Table 3 of the Code requires a minimum lot area of 2 acres and a minimum land area per dwelling unit of 2.1 acres in the AG district. The applicant is proposing to have two dwelling units on the 2.02-acre subject lot. They have also requested to temporarily allow three dwelling units on one lot of record during construction so that the parents can continue to live in the mobile home until their proposed home is completed.

If inclined to approve, the Board may consider any conditions it deems necessary and reasonably related to the request to ensure that the additional dwelling is not injurious to the surrounding agricultural district.

## Sample Motion:

"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Variance of the minimum land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record (Section 330); Variance of the land area per dwelling unit in the AG district to temporarily allow three dwelling units on one lot of record during construction (Section 330 and Section 208).

- Subject to the following conditions (if any): $\qquad$ .
- Per the Conceptual Plan(s) shown on page(s) $\qquad$ of the agenda packet.
- Finding the hardship to be $\qquad$ _.

Finding by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances, which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan"

Presentation:
Louis Levy, attorney representing Doctor's Hospital, presented two alternative sign plans (Exhibits " $\mathrm{N}-1$ and $\mathrm{N}-2$ ") ; one reads Doctor's Hospital and the other Doctor's Medical Center. The applicant's preference would be the second alternative, Doctor's Medical Center. Mr. Levy advised that the hospital had identification probTems, one being that the main entrance to the hospital is a residential street. The new sign program would help to solve this problem for the benefit of doctors, patients and visitors to the hospital. The letters on the proposed signs will be $2^{\prime}$ tall, the sign will be non-illuminated.

## Protestant:

Bernice Giles, 2429 South Knoxville Avenue, representing homeowners on South Knoxville, advised that their homes were at the back door of the hospital which is the front door of the residences. Mrs. Giles protested the signs or anything else which would make the area appear any more commercial than at present. The protestant noted that area residents would not protest the sign if the hospital would erect an $8^{\prime}$ screening fence near the residential area.

Louis Levy advised that he was not aware of this problem; however, he assured the protestant that he would confer with the administration of the hospital tomorrow morning and clean up the area, notify the contractor to clean up his mess and the hospital will erect a fence.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Lewis, Purser, Smith, Wait "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Thompson "absent") to approve a Variance (Section 420.2 (d) - Accessory Use Conditions Permitted in Residential Districts) - and, Variance (Section 630.2 (d) - Accessory Use Conditions Permitted in Office Districts - Under the Provisions of Section 1670 - Variances) of the sign requirements in an $O M$ and RS-3 District for the Doctor's Hospital, to allow one sign, on the south side of the building, the sign to read Doctor's Hospital in $2^{\prime}$ high letters in accordance with the drawing submitted; and the hospital to construct a wall or a fence on the east side of the property with the applicant returning to the Board with plans for the wall or fence, on the following described tract:

All of that portion of the $S / 2, S / 2, N W / 4, S / 2, S / 2, N W / 4$ of Section 16, Township 19 North, Range 13 East, Tulsa County, 0k1ahoma.

Action Requested:
Exception (Section 310 - Principal Uses Permitted in the Agriculture District - Section 1209 - Mobile Home Dwelling) for permission to locate a mobile home in an AG District located $\frac{1}{4}$ mile east of 33 rd West Avenue and 111th Street South.

## Presentation:

Randy Hunter, 3117 West 111th Street South, advised that he had purchased the subject property one month ago. The real estate agent who sold the five acre tract to Mr. Hunter assured him that in the County, if you owned more than $2 \frac{1}{2}$ acres, there would be no
problem moving a mobile home onto the property. The mobile home is in place at the present time. Mr. Hunter stated that he planned to build a home on the property; however, it may be 5 or 6 years before he would be financially able to do so. There are five other mobile homes in the immediate area.

## Protests: None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of SMITH, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Lewis, Purser, Smith, Wait "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Thompson "absent") to approve an Exception (Section 310 - Principal Uses Permitted in the Agriculture District - Section 1209 - Mobile Home Dwelling) to locate a mobile home in an AG District, for a period of five years, on the following described tract:

A tract of land in the SW/4 of Section 27, Township 18 North, Range 12 East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Beginning at a point in the South boundary of said Section 27, 1,557.83' from the SW corner thereof; thence North, parallel to the West boundary of said Section 27, a distance of $820.00^{\prime}$; thence East, parallel to the South boundary of said Section 27, a distance of $265.61^{\prime}$; thence South $820.00^{\prime}$ to a point in the South boundary of Section 27; thence West along said boundary a distance of $265.61^{\prime}$ to the point of beginning, containing 5.00 acres, more or less.

10795
Action Requested:
Exception (Section 410 - Principal Uses Permitted in Residential Districts - Section 1207 - Duplex Dwellings) for permission to erect two duplexes in an RS-3 District; and a Variance (Section 440.3 (a) \& (c) - Special Exception Uses in Residential District Requirements - Under the Provisons of Section 1670 - Variances) of the $9,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., lot area to $6,750 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. for a duplex; and request for a variance of the frontage requirements from 75' to $50^{\prime}$ to permit a dup1ex at 7100 Block East Virgin Street.

Presentation:
Tom Burke, 4133 East 48th Place, representing his brother Byron Burke, presented a plot plan (Exhibit "0-1") of the proposed duplexes. The duplexes will be concrete block-type 1,200 sq. ft. per unit in the rental range of $\$ 200-\$ 225$ per unit, per month. Mr. Burke advised that the plans presented were concept plans and he did not have elevations to submit at this time. The applicant stated he would return to the Board with final plans if the Board wished to continue the application.

Protests: None.




| (asen Board of <br> Adjustment | Case Number: CBOA-2959 <br> Hearing Date: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Case Report Prepared by: <br> Robi Jones | Owner and Applicant Information: <br> Applicant: Jason Marietta <br> Property Owner: DUNCAN, DAVE A \& JANYCE K REV TRUST |
| Action Requested: Modification of a previously approved Special Exception (CBOA2881) to extend the time limitation and variance from the all-weather parking requirement for a fireworks stand in a RE district (Section 410). |  |
| Location Map: | Additional Information: <br> Present Use: Residential <br> Tract Size: 2.5 acres <br> Location: East of the northeast corner of North 129th East Avenue \& East 106 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street North <br> Present Zoning: RE <br> Fenceline/Area: Owasso <br> Land Use Designation: Commercial |



# TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> CASE REPORT 

TRS: 1416
CZM: 12
CASE NUMBER: CBOA-2959
CASE REPORT PREPARED BY: Robi Jones
HEARING DATE: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM
APPLICANT: Jason Marietta
ACTION REQUESTED: Modification of a previously approved Special Exception (CBOA-2881) to extend the time limitation and variance from the all-weather parking requirement for a fireworks stand in a RE district (Section 410).

LOCATION: East of the northeast corner of North 129th East Avenue \& East 106 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street North
ZONED: RE
FENCELINE: Owasso
PRESENT USE: Residential
TRACT SIZE: 2.5 acres
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: NE NE NW NW LESS .13AC FOR RD SEC 162114 2.37ACS,

## RELEVANT PREVIOUS ACTIONS:

Subject Property:
CBOA-2881 May 2021: The Board approved a Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand in an RE district and a Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking for a period of 1 year, on property located west of the northwest corner of Highway 169 and East 106 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street North.

## Surrounding Property:

CBOA-2716 August 2018: The Board approved a Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand in an AG district and a Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking for a period of 5 years, on property located at 13412 E. 106th Street North.

CBOA-2205 April 2006: The Board approved a Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand in an AG District (Section 310); and a Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D) for a period of 3 years, on property located at $13412 \mathrm{E} .106^{\text {th }}$ St. N.

ANALYSIS OF SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract abuts RE zoning with residential uses on the west and south. It abuts CS zoning to the north and east. The property to the north has a residential use and the property to the east is vacant.

## STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant is before the Board requesting a Modification of a previously approved Special Exception (CBOA-2881) to extend the time limitation and variance from the all-weather parking requirement for a fireworks stand in a RE district (Section 410).

In 2021, the Board approved a special exception to permit a fireworks stand (Use Unit 2); and a Variance from the all-weather parking surface requirement for a period of one year with the following conditions:

- One-year time limit
- Subject to the Conceptual Plan in the agenda packet (2.10)
- Days of operation to be June $15^{\text {th }}-$ July $5^{\text {th }}$
- Hours of operation to be 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. from June $20^{\text {th }}$ to July $2^{\text {nd }}$ and July $5^{\text {th }}$ to July $6^{\text {th }}$, Hours of operation to be 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 midnight on July 3rd and July $4^{\text {th }}$

If inclined to approve the Board may consider any condition it deems necessary and reasonably related to the request to ensure that the proposed use is compatible with the surrounding area.

## Sample Motion for Modification:

"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Modification of a previously approved Special Exception (CBOA-2881) to extend the time limitation and variance from the all-weather parking requirement for a fireworks stand in a RE district (Section 410).

Subject to the following conditions (if any): $\qquad$ .

Finding the proposed modification is compatible with and non-injurious to the surrounding area and meets the previously granted Board relief or meets the zoning requirements, per code."
anyone would like to continue their case and if they understood. Everybody nodded in understanding and no one requested a continuance.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

## 2881-Jake's Fireworks - Jason Marietta

## Action Requested:

Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand (Use Unit 2) in an RE District (Section 410); Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D). LOCATION: West of the NW/c of Highway 169 and East 106th Street North

## Presentation:

Jason Marietta, Jake's Fireworks, 1500 East 27th Terrace, Pittsburg, KS; stated he has had a fireworks stand on the property next to the subject property for about 20 years. That parcel was sold to a medical facility and he would like to move the stand to the subject property after speaking to that property owner. The new stand will have a larger lot and a larger driveway so traffic can easily enter and exit the property. Mr. Marietta stated that he did see a letter of opposition to the fireworks stand about fireworks being set off in the neighborhood, whether he is selling fireworks or not people will still set off fireworks in the neighborhood regardless if fireworks are purchased at his stand or not. He has never had a violation and never had a complaint, and he meets every mandated code and regulation set forth by Tulsa County and by Oklahoma Fire Marshal.

## Interested Parties:

Sherry Barbour, Big Blast Fireworks, 18622 South 62nd East Avenue, Bixby, OK; stated she is a friendly competitor of Mr. Marietta. Ninety percent of the fireworks business is done in $10 \%$ of the time, so challenges with moving cars in and out is something always faced. The last two days of the season is the only time that a parking lot may be filled up. Ms. Barbour stated she has been by Mr. Marietta's site several times and he handles everything very well and efficiently. She can see nothing but betterment for the new location.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Marietta if his stand would be on the westerly or the easterly portion of the property because of the residential property nearby. Mr. Marietta stated that his stand will be as close to the highway as possible. He is going to remove a lot of the trees and do dirt work and place the new stand as close to the former site as possible. The landowner is the house closest to the stand and she is the person he is leasing the property from.

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Marietta to state his hours of operations and the period of time he would be operating the stand and describe the lighting for the stand. Mr. Marietta stated that typically the stand would open June 15th and close after July 5th. Early in
the season the hours would be 9:00 A.M. to 9:00 P.M. and as July 4th gets closer the hours would be 9:00 A.M. to 12:00 midnight. Mr. Marietta stated the lighting will be the typical lighting for a fireworks stand and there would be someone on site $24 / 7$ while the stand is open.

Mr. Johnston asked Mr. Marietta if the lighting was contained within the tent or is there parking lot lighting. Mr. Marietta stated that occasionally there is a light tower with LED lights for the parking area.

Tom Stewart, 13111 East 105th Street North, Owasso, OK; stated his house is south of the subject property and his concerns are his property values. As long as there are fireworks being sold from that site who would want to purchase his property. Mr. Charney stated that the Board does not speak to such a question directly, but he would reiterate that if the application were approved that for an approximate 2-1/2-week period of time the stand would be open and the stand is not a permanent right to do so.

## Comments and Questions:

None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHARNEY, the Board voted 3-0-0 (Charney, Johnston, Tisdale "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Crall, Hutchinson "absent") to APPROVE the request for a Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand (Use Unit 2) in an RE District (Section 410); Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D), subject to conceptual plan 2.10 of the agenda packet. The hours of operation to be 9:00 A.M. to 9:00 P.M. starting June 15th to July 5th, and 9:00 A.M. to 12:00 midnight July 3rd and July 4th. The approval is for one year, May 2022. The Board finds the hardship for the gravel parking area to be the short term of the request. Finding the Special Exception will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code, and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare. Finding by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances, which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan; for the following property:

NE NE NW NW LESS .13AC FOR RD SEC 162114 2.37ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

## NEW APPLICATIONS

all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D). The hours of operation will conclude at 11:00 P.M. except for July $3^{\text {rd }}$ and July $4^{\text {th }}$, then the stand can stay open until midnight. The approval will have a five-year time limit, August 2023; for the following property:

## N273.58 W990.15 LT 4 SEC 11714 6.219ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

## 2716-Jakes Fireworks - Jason Wanetta

Action Requested:
Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand in an AG District (Section 310); Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D). LOCATION: 13412 East $106^{\text {th }}$ Street North, Owasso

## Presentation:

Jason Marietta, 1500 East $27^{\text {th }}$ Terrace, Pittsburg, KS; stated there has been a stand on the subject property for over ten years, and it is the same as the previous case.

## Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties present.

## Comments and Questions:

None.

## Board Action:

On MOTION of CHARNEY, the Board voted 4-0-0 (Charney, Crall, Dillard, Johnston "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; Hutchinson "absent") to APPROVE the request for a Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand in an AG District (Section 310); Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking (Section 1340.D). The hours of operation will conclude at 11:00 P.M. except for July $3^{\text {rd }}$ and July $4^{\text {th }}$, then the stand can stay open until midnight. The approval will have a five-year time limit, August 2023; for the following property:

N/2 NW NE NW LESS BEG NEC THEREOF TH W486.29 S50 SE488.97 N100 POB \& LESS N16.5 W173.71 THEREOF FOR RD SEC 162114 4.102ACS, OF TULSA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA

## 2707-James Kent

## Action Requested:

Special Exception to allow a fireworks stand (Use Unit 2) in an RS District and IL District; Variance of the all-weather surface material requirement for parking
request for a special exception and variance from the zoning code. He promised to encourage other neighbors in opposition to drop their protests. Mr. Ramos promised to cease the auto repair business and Ms. Harris promised to cease electronic surveillance of his property. Ms. Harris promised also to cease protest of his past activities that she considered violations of the zoning code. This agreement would be replaced by a more formal agreement. She added that she advised him on some gardening problems and they are now open to communication. He observed the cameras being removed.

## Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties who wished to speak.

## Board Action:

On Motion of Dillard, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Walker, Tyndall, Dillard, Hutson, Charney "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; no "absences") to APPROVE a Special Exception to allow retail sales of farm produce in an AG zoned district Sect 320.1.2; and a Variance of the required hard surface parking to allow gravel parking for customers, finding the small incidence of sales, on the following described property:

N440 E/2 E/2 SE LESS W.16AC THEREOF FOR RD SEC 332214 6.500ACS (TR 1 \& 2 PH 1), Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma


## NEW APPLICATIONS

## Case No. 2205

## Action Requested

Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand (Use Unit 2) in an AG district; and a Variance of the all-weather surface requirement for the parking area, located: 13412 East $106^{\text {th }}$ Street North.

## Presentation:

Brett Watson, 402 Victorian Drive, Pittsburg, Kansas, reminded the Board of the short time period they would use the property. He added the parking would be graveled to prevent autos from displacing mud onto the street. The hardship is that this is only a temporary use.

## Comments and Questions:

Mr. Charney asked Mr. Watson if the application was approved if the lights would face north toward the church rather than south. Mr. Charney also would condition it with a 10:30 p.m. closing time with lights out. Mr. Watson responded that in the summer the sun sets later so there would not be much need for lighting. He also requested to stay open later on July $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$, when they have a lot more business.

## Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties who wished to speak.

## Board Action:

On Motion of Chaney, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Walker, Tyndall, Dillard, Hutson, Chaney "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; no "absences") to APPROVE a Special Exception to permit a fireworks stand (Use Unit 2) in an AG district, for a period of three years; and a Variance of the all-weather surface requirement for the parking area, finding the temporary nature of the special exception use, on the following described property:

N/2 NW NE NW LESS BEG NEC THEREOF TH W486.29 S50 SE488.97 N100 PCB \& LESS N16.5 W173.71 THEREOF FORD SEC 162114 4.102ACS, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma

## Case No. 2206

## Action Requested:

Special Exception to permit a cell tower in an RM-2 district; and a Special Exception of the required 110 percent distance from an R district, located: 6524 West Charles Page Boulevard South.

Mr. Cuthbertson noted to the Board that under staff review it was determined that the special exception of the required 110 percent distance from an $R$ district was not necessary. Staff advised the special exception based on the residential district they were in but it should have been interpreted "from the next residential district", which was more than the $110 \%$ distance. The applicant has withdrawn that special exception.

## Presentation:

Lou Reynolds, 2727 East $21^{\text {st }}$ Street, represented Verizon Wireless for Mr. Kavanagh. He submitted photographs, a map and a site plan (Exhibits B-1, B-2 and B-3). It is an unusual location, as one-half of the site is on IM and one-half on RM-2 property. It would all be on the IM property but it would be on the levy. All the homes are 300 ' from the location for the tower. It is behind a commercial center. He reviewed the surrounding properties and their uses. This application is for a 150' monopole tower. He stated this tower would fill up a critical gap in Sand Springs and west Tulsa.

## Interested Parties:

There were no interested parties who wished to speak.




Looking southeast on E. $106^{\text {th }}$ St. N. toward property line between the existing house and the subject property.


Looking east along E. 106th St. N.


| Case Report Prepared by: | Case Number: CBOA-2960 <br> Hearing Date: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM <br> Adjustment |
| :--- | :--- |
| Robi Jones | Owner and Applicant Information: |
| Applicant: Wake Wireless <br> Property Owner: CONTREREAS, <br> PATRICIA \& RODOLFO |  |

Action Requested: Special Exception to permit a 150 ft . Wireless Communications Tower (Use Unit 4 - Public Protection and Utility Facilities) in an AG district (Section 1204.3); and a Special Exception to reduce the required tower setback (110\% of the tower height) from 165' to 10' 8" from the adjoining residential lot and from 165' to 92 ' from the adjoining agricultural lot (Section 1204.3C.7.a).

## Location Map:



Tulsa County Comprehensive Land Use Plan

## Additional Information:

Present Use: Agricultural
Tract Size: 10.35 acres
Location: South of the southeast corner of East $146^{\text {th }}$ Street North and North Yale Avenue

Present Zoning: AG
Fenceline/Area: Collinsville
Land Use Designation: Residential


# TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> CASE REPORT 

TRS: 2327
CZM: 7

CASE NUMBER: CBOA-2960
CASE REPORT PREPARED BY: Robi Jones

HEARING DATE: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM
APPLICANT: Wake Wireless
ACTION REQUESTED: Special Exception to permit a 150 ft. Wireless Communications Tower (Use Unit 4 Public Protection and Utility Facilities) in an AG district (Section 1204.3); and a Special Exception to reduce the required tower setback (110\% of the tower height) from 165 ' to 10 ' 8 " from the adjoining residential lot and from 165 ' to 92 ' from the adjoining agricultural lot (Section 1204.3C.7.a).

LOCATION: South of the southeast corner of East 146 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street North and North Yale Avenue
ZONED: AG
FENCELINE: Collinsville
PRESENT USE: Agricultural
TRACT SIZE: 10.35 acres
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: BEG 70S NWC SW TH E285 N70 E1035.37 S360.35 W1320.15 N290.35 POB LESS W16.5 FOR RD SEC 272213 10.35ACS,

RELEVANT PREVIOUS ACTIONS: None relevant
ANALYSIS OF SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract abuts AG zoning to the north and south. The property to the north was approved for a Wedding and Event Venue in 2021 and the property to the south appears to be vacant. Highway 75 is west of the subject tract and the property to the east is zoned RE.

## STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant is before the Board requesting a Special Exception to permit a 150 ft . Wireless Communications Tower (Use Unit 4 - Public Protection and Utility Facilities) in an AG district (Section 1204.3); and a Special Exception to reduce the required tower setback (110\% of the tower height) from 165 ' to 10 ' 8 " from the adjoining residential lot and from 165' to 92' from the adjoining agricultural lot (Section 1204.3C.7.a).

Communications towers are classified under Use Unit 4, Public Protection and Utility Facilities, and are permitted in the AG district by Special Exception. A Special Exception is required as the proposed cell tower is a use which is not permitted by right in the AG district because of potential adverse effects, but if controlled in the particular instance as to its relationship to the area, may be permitted.

The applicant has included a site plan. The proposed monopole tower has a height of 150 feet. Zoning Code requires towers to be set back a distance equal to at least on hundred ten percent (110\%) of the height of the tower from any adjoining lot line of a residential, office or agricultural zoned lot.

- The distance from the proposed cell tower to the adjoining RE lot line is 10 feet 8 inches. The requirement would be 165 feet so the applicant is requesting a Special Exception to reduce the required tower setback (110\% of the tower height) from the adjoining RE zoned district from 165 feet to 10 feet 8 inches.
- The distance from the proposed cell tower to the adjoining AG lot line is 92 feet. The requirement would be 165 feet so the applicant is requesting a Special Exception to reduce the required tower setback (110\% of the tower height) from the adjoining AG zoned district from 165 feet to 92 feet.
- The distances to the north and west meet the setback requirements.

Section 1204.3.C \& E of the Code provides general standards for locating communication towers in any district. Some of the more notable requirements include:
1204.3.C.2.a: Towers and antennas shall be designed to blend into the surrounding environment through the use of color, galvanizing, or camouflaging architectural treatment, except in instances where the color is dictated by federal or state authorities such as the FAA.
1204.3.C.4.: Towers shall not be illuminated by artificial means and shall not display strobe lights unless such lighting is specifically required by the FAA or other federal or state authority for a particular tower. When incorporated into the approved design of the tower, light fixtures used to illuminate ball fields, parking lots, or similar areas may be attached to the tower.
1204.3.C.6: All utility buildings and structures accessory to a tower shall meet all the requirements of the underlying zoning district. Exterior ground mounted equipment occupying more than 50 square feet, if visible from ground level, shall be screened from view from property within 150 ft. used for residential purposes.
1204.3.C.7.a: Towers must be set back a distance equal to at least one hundred ten percent (110\%) of the height of the tower from any adjoining lot line of a residential, office, or agricultural zoned lot, excluding expressway rights of way zoned residential.
1204.3.C.8: Towers shall be enclosed by security fencing not less than six (6) feet in height or shall be equipped with an appropriate anti-climbing device; provided however the Board may modify of waive the requirements by special exception

Additionally, Section 1204.3.E.1 provides the following:
Factors to be considered in granting a special exception. In addition to any other requirement of this section, the following factors shall be considered in the determination to grant or deny a special exception for an antenna or tower:
a. Height of the proposed tower
b. Proximity of the tower to residential structures, residential district boundaries and existing towers
c. Nature of uses on adjacent and nearby properties
d. Surrounding topography
e. Surrounding tree coverage and foliage
f. Design of the tower, with particular reference to design characteristics that have the effect of reducing or eliminating visual obtrusiveness
g. The total number and size of antennas proposed and the ability of the proposed tower to accommodate collocation
h. Architectural design of utility buildings and accessory structures to blend with the surrounding environment
i. Proposed ingress and egress
$j$. The need of the applicant for a communications tower within the immediate geographic area to provide an acceptable level of communications service to the area k. The size of the tract and the most likely future development as indicated by the Comprehensive Plan, planned infrastructure, topography and other physical facts.
I. Landscaping
1204.3.E.2 - Collocation of facilities should be encouraged wherever practical by allowing reasonable extra height or tower diameter necessary to support multiple antennas.
1204.3.E.3 - The findings of the Board of Adjustment as to each of these factors shall be made on the record and contained in the written minutes of the meeting.

If inclined to approve, the Board may consider any condition it deems necessary and reasonably related to the request to ensure the proposed communications tower will be non-injurious to the surrounding area.

## Sample Motion:

"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Special Exception to permit a 150 ft . Wireless Communications Tower (Use Unit 4 - Public Protection and Utility Facilities) in an AG district (Section 1204.3); and a Special Exception to reduce the required tower setback (110\% of the tower height) from 165' to 10' $\mathbf{8 " \prime}^{\prime \prime}$ from the adjoining residential lot and from 165' to 92' from the adjoining agricultural lot (Section 1204.3C.7.a).

Read the following statement so it can be on record and recorded in the minutes of the meeting:
The Board considered each of the following stated factors in Section 1204.3.E. 1 \& 2 of the Code and finds that the application complies / does not comply with the requirements to the Boards satisfaction.

Section 1204.3.E provides the following:

1. Factors to be considered in granting a special exception. In addition to any other requirement of this section, the following factors shall be considered in the determination to grant or deny a special exception for an antenna or tower:
a. Height of the proposed tower
b. Proximity of the tower to residential structures, residential district boundaries and existing towers
c. Nature of uses on adjacent and nearby properties
d. Surrounding topography
e. Surrounding tree coverage and foliage
f. Design of the tower, with particular reference to design characteristics that have the effect of reducing or eliminating visual obtrusiveness
g. The total number and size of antennas proposed and the ability of the proposed tower to accommodate collocation
h. Architectural design of utility buildings and accessory structures to blend with the surrounding environment
i. Proposed ingress and egress
$j$. The need of the applicant for a communications tower within the immediate geographic area to provide an acceptable level of communications service to the area
k. The size of the tract and the most likely future development as indicated by the Comprehensive Plan, planned infrastructure, topography and other physical facts.
I. Landscaping
2. Collocation of facilities should be encouraged wherever practical by allowing reasonable extra height or tower diameter necessary to support multiple antennas.

Approved per conceptual plan(s) on page(s) $\qquad$ of the agenda packet.

Subject to the following conditions (if any): $\qquad$ .

Finding the Special Exception will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code, and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.





| AnsBoard of <br> Adjustment | Case Number: CBOA-2961 <br> Hearing Date: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Case Report Prepared by: <br> Robi Jones | Owner and Applicant Information: <br> Applicant: Brett Batson <br> Property Owner: BATSON, J \& B LLC |
| Action Requested: Variance of the minimum lot area, land area per dwelling unit, and lot width in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record. (Section 330, Table 3) |  |
| Location Map: | Additional Information: <br> Present Use: Residential <br> Tract Size: 0.92 acres <br> Location: 11406 S 26 AV W <br> Present Zoning: AG <br> Fenceline/Area: Jenks <br> Land Use Designation: Medium Intensity Single-family |
| Tulsa County Comprehensive Land Use Plan |  |



# TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> CASE REPORT 

TRS: 8234
CZM: 55

CASE NUMBER: CBOA-2961
CASE REPORT PREPARED BY: Robi Jones

HEARING DATE: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM
APPLICANT: Brett Batson
ACTION REQUESTED: Variance of the minimum lot area, land area per dwelling unit, and lot width in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record. (Section 330, Table 3)

LOCATION: 11406 S 26 AV W
ZONED: AG
FENCELINE: Jenks
PRESENT USE: Residential
TRACT SIZE: 0.92 acres
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: BEG 479.6S NEC SE NW TH W417.4 S104.35 E417.4 N104.35 POB LESS E30 FOR ST SEC 341812 .93AC,

## RELEVANT PREVIOUS ACTIONS: None Relevant

ANALYSIS OF SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is surrounded by AG zoning with residential uses.

## STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant is before the Board requesting a Variance of the minimum lot area, land area per dwelling unit, and lot width in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record. (Section 330, Table 3)

As shown on the attached site plan, the applicant has an existing single-family residence on the lot and is proposing to add a second dwelling unit in the rear yard located west of the existing home. The applicant provided the attached statement of hardship.

Section 208 states: No more than one single-family dwelling or mobile home may be constructed or otherwise placed on a lot, except in the case of a lot which is within an approved PUD, in an RMH district, or in an AG district, with the exception in the AG district that there be no more than two dwellings per lot.

Section 330, Table 3 of the Code requires a minimum lot area of 2 acres and a land area per dwelling unit requirement of 2.1 acres in the AG district. The applicant is proposing to have two dwellings on the 0.92acre subject lot. The code also requires a minimum lot width of 150 feet. The subject tract has a lot width of 104.35 feet.

If inclined to approve, the Board may consider any conditions it deems necessary and reasonably related to the request to ensure that the additional dwelling is not injurious to the surrounding agricultural district.

Sample Motion:
"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Variance of the minimum lot area, land area per dwelling unit, and lot width in the AG district to permit two dwelling units on one lot of record. (Section 330, Table 3)

- Subject to the following conditions (if any)
- Per the Conceptual Plan(s) shown on page(s) ___ of the agenda packet
- Finding the hardship to be $\qquad$ .

Finding by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances, which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan"

## Statement of Hardship and Explanation for Variance Request

1. This property, as an agricultural property, is essentially a "tweener", not able to be fully Agricultural nor fully Residential, as it would be essentially an "island" of residential zoning inside a sea of AG. It is one acre in size and has likely never been in conformity with the minimum size of an agricultural lot.
2. With an eye on the future, we'd like to build a very nice, very small farm cottage as an additional dwelling on the lot. See attached prints. We have envisioned this property as a "multi-generational" property since the day my father and I purchased it. A property we'd never sell. As my parents have aged, we've decided to attempt to build another dwelling on the lot. This will hopefully be a future home for one of them, should they predecease the other. Basically, we'd like to use the 2nd dwelling allowance for AG properties exactly as I believe it was intended all along.
3. We've looked around for very nice, very small houses, and they do not exist. And they especially do not exist anywhere near this land where we spend so much time. There is a shortage of housing in Jenks and Tulsa area, but the shortage is especially acute of this type.
4. This type of home would fit in very well with the homes in the vicinity. It is very typical of the architecture in the area.
5. This type of home and the size of the land around it fits within the parameters of the new Jenks land use plan.






Looking west on W. 114th St. S. at the metal shop on the subject tract. The proposed additional dwelling unit would be just west on this structure.


Looking southwest from S. 26th W. Ave toward exisisting dwelling unit.

Proposed Elevation. JォB Batson


Proposed Fleorplan, IVB Batson


J\&B Batson



| Board of Adjustment | Case Number: CBOA-2962 <br> Hearing Date: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Case Report Prepared by: Robi Jones | Owner and Applicant Information: <br> Applicant: Heath Conley <br> Property Owner: CONLEY, HEATH D \& LEANN |
| Action Requested: Use Variance to permit Use Unit 15, Other Goods and Sevices, to allow a Woodworking Shop in an AG district (Section 1215); Use Variance to permit Use Unit 14, Shopping Goods and Services, to allow retail sales of reclaimed lumber in an AG district; and a Variance from the all-weather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D) |  |
| Location Map: | Additional Information: <br> Present Use: Agricultural <br> Tract Size: $\mathbf{3 6 . 7 4}$ acres <br> Location: East of the southeast corner of North Lewis Avenue \& East $186^{\text {th }}$ Street North <br> Present Zoning: AG <br> Fenceline/Area: Skiatook <br> Land Use Designation: Rural Residential |
| Tulsa County Comprehensive Land Use Plan |  |

## WASHINGTON COUNTY - NO DATA AVAILABLE



# TULSA COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CASE REPORT 

TRS: 2305
CZM: 2

CASE NUMBER: CBOA-2962
CASE REPORT PREPARED BY: Robi Jones

HEARING DATE: 04/19/2022 1:30 PM
APPLICANT: Heath Conley
ACTION REQUESTED: Use Variance to permit Use Unit 15, Other Goods and Sevices, to allow a Woodworking Shop in an AG district (Section 1215); Use Variance to permit Use Unit 14, Shopping Goods and Services, to allow retail sales of reclaimed lumber in an AG district; and a Variance from the allweather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D)

LOCATION: East of the southeast corner of North Lewis Avenue \& East $186^{\text {th }}$ Street North
ZONED: AG
FENCELINE: Skiatook
PRESENT USE: Agricultural
TRACT SIZE: 36.74 acres
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: BEG 659.98 E NWC GOV LT 2 TH S662.26 W660.13 S943.8 E1278.66 N1605.45 W617.98 POB LESS N25 THEREOF FOR RD SEC 052213 36.737 ACS,

RELEVANT PREVIOUS ACTIONS: None Relevant


#### Abstract

ANALYSIS OF SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is surrounded by AG zoning except a portion in the southeast corner which is zoned RE but does not appear to have development on it at this time. The other surrounding uses appear to be agricultural with a smattering of residential.


## STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant is before the Board to request a Use Variance to permit Use Unit 15, Other Goods and Sevices, to allow a Woodworking Shop in an AG district (Section 1215); Use Variance to permit Use Unit 14, Shopping Goods and Services, to allow retail sales of reclaimed lumber in an AG district; and a Variance from the all-weather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D).

Use Variances are required as neither Use Unit 14, Shopping Goods \& Services, and Use Unit 15, Other Goods and Services, are not a uses permitted in an AG zoned district because of the potential adverse effects on neighboring properties. The proposed uses must be found to be compatible with and noninjurious to the surrounding area.

The applicant supplied the following statement: "We already purchased and closed on the land prior to knowing the zoning requirements."

According to the site plan provided by the applicant, the proposed woodworking shop is located on the north portion of the property with an entrance off East $186^{\text {th }}$ Street North. Parking will occur on a gravel surface, so the applicant has requested a variance from the all-weather parking surface requirement.

If inclined to approve, the Board may consider any condition it deems necessary and reasonably related to the request to ensure that the proposed accessory building is compatible with and non-injurious to the surrounding area.

## Sample Motion:

"Move to $\qquad$ (approve/deny) a Use Variance to permit Use Unit 15, Other Goods and Sevices, to allow a Woodworking Shop in an AG district (Section 1215); Use Variance to permit Use Unit 14, Shopping Goods and Services, to allow retail sales of reclaimed lumber in an AG district; and a Variance from the allweather parking surface requirement (Section 1340.D)

Per the Conceptual Plan(s) shown on page(s) $\qquad$ of the agenda packet.

Subject to the following conditions, if any: $\qquad$ .

Finding the hardship to be $\qquad$ .

In granting a Variance, the Board must find that by reason of extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances which are peculiar to the land, structure or building involved, the literal enforcement of the terms of the Code would result in unnecessary hardship; that such extraordinary or exceptional conditions or circumstances do not apply generally to other property in the same use district; and that the variance to be granted will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or the Comprehensive Plan.
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