COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
MINUTES of Meeting No. 263
Tuesday, April 16, 2002, 1:30 p.m.
County Commission Room
Room 119
County Administration Building

MEMBERS PRESENT MEMBERS ABSENT STAFF PRESENT OTHERS PRESENT
Alberty, Chair Butler D. Blakely, Asst. D.A.
Tyndall Fernandez
Walker Beach
Hutson
Dillard, Vice Chair

The notice and agenda of said meeting were posted in the Office of INCOG, 201 W. 5th St., Suite 600, Wednesday, April 10, 2002 at 10:38 a.m., as well as at the City Clerk’s office, City Hall.

After declaring a quorum present, Chair Alberty called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

************

MINUTES:
On MOTION of Hutson, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Alberty, Dillard, Walker, Tyndall, Hutson "aye"; no "nays", no "abstentions"; no "absences") to APPROVE the Minutes of March 19, 2002 (No. 262).

************

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Case No. 1887
Action Requested:
Diane Fernandez stated this is a request for consideration of interpretation of the Board Action taken on July 17, 2001. The Board approved a variance of Section 208 limiting one single-family dwelling on a lot of record to permit two dwelling units on a tract of 2.29 acres in an AG district; and a variance to lot and land area requirements in an AG district, subject to a building permit and meeting all zoning requirements. As the agenda packet indicated, the staff had discussions with Ms. Sanders about splitting the property, because the applicant was requesting a lot-split. There was a question regarding the tract being under one ownership. Mrs. Fernandez added that Dick Blakely, District Attorney was present for legal counsel.
Mr. Albery stated that this is not a hearing for the case. He added that the Board was limited to discussion of the previous Board Action.

Presentation:
Brenda Sanders, 3893 E. 86th St. N., Sperry, Oklahoma, stated she did not ask for this hearing. She felt that she understood the Board action at the July, 2001 hearing.

Comments and Questions:
Mr. Albery reminded Ms. Sanders that he questioned her specifically in the July hearing about any plans to divide the property and she responded that they would keep the ownership in their name. Ms. Sanders responded that she believed her response was that they did not know what they were going to do with the property at the time. Mr. Albery informed her that she would have needed other variances to get a lot-split. Mr. Albery read the Board action from the July, 2001 hearing and Ms. Sanders agreed that was the action. He stated they did not approve a lot-split and she responded that she understood that. Ms. Sanders explained that she could not close on her construction loan unless there is a lot-split. Mr. Hutson stated the previous Board action is clear and Ms. Sanders agrees with the Board on the approval of two variances. Mr. Hutson explained that when someone wants relief for a lot-split, it is specifically presented in that way. Mr. Hutson pointed out that Ms. Sanders did not do that but asked for two dwellings on one lot. Mr. Hutson added that the Board was willing to approve her request, as long as the lot maintained single ownership. Ms. Sanders replied that it does maintain a single ownership. Mr. Hutson explained she would have to sell both houses at one time, with a lot-split she could sell each individual property separately, but as it is she cannot. Mr. Albery informed the applicant that she would need to go to INCOG and tell them exactly what she wants to do and file a new application to the Board of Adjustment.

Board Action:
No motion was required.

* * * * * *

Case No. 1945

Action Requested:
Variance of lot width of Tract 2 from 200’ to 185’. SECTION 330. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICTS – Use Unit 9; a Variance of the lot area of Tract 1 from 2 acres to 1.05 acres. SECTION 330. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICTS; a Variance of lot area of Tract 2 from 2 acres to 1.44 acres. SECTION 330. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICTS; a Variance of land area of Tract 1 from 2.2 acres to 1.325 acres. SECTION 330. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICTS; a Variance of land area of Tract 2 from 2.2 acres to 1.486 acres. SECTION 330. BULK AND AREA
REQUIREMENTS IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICTS; a Variance of front yard setback of Tract 1 from 85' to 75'. SECTION 330. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICTS; and a Variance of rear yard setback of Tract 1 from 40' to 3' for storage building, from 40' to 2' for shop, and from 40' to 37' for garage. SECTION 330. BULK AND AREA REQUIREMENTS IN THE AGRICULTURE DISTRICTS, located 4204 E. 161st St. S.

Presentation:
Ms. Fernandez stated the applicant requested a Continuance to the next meeting.

Board Action:
On MOTION of Tyndall, the Board voted 5-0-0 (White, Dunham, Turnbo, Perkins, Cooper "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; no "absences") to CONTINUE Case No. 1945 to the meeting on May 21, 2002, on the following described property:

The surface only of the N 370' of the E 350' of the W/2 NW/4 NE/4, Section 28, T-17-N, R-13-E, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.

Case No. 1946
Action Requested:
Special Exception to permit outdoor sales (fireworks) in an IL district for a period of five years. SECTION 910. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS and SECTION 1202. USE UNIT 2. AREA-WIDE SPECIAL EXCEPTION USES – Use Unit 2, located 7209 N. U.S. 169.

Mrs. Fernandez pointed out that the staff comments note the Zoning Code for Tulsa County has been amended to allow a case to be considered on a case by case basis rather than just a thirty-day time period, effect immediately. This same request was heard and a variance granted for this use for thirty days last year.

Presentation:
Lonnie Basse, 5401 W. Skelly Drive, stated he works for OK Fireworks. They want to sell fireworks from June 15th through July 4th. He stated that everything would be the same as last year.

Comments and Questions:
Mr. Alberty asked if they were asking for approval for the next five years, and no sales during Christmas/New Years period. Mr. Basse agreed. Mr. Alberty asked if the stands would only be set up and taken down a little before and after the month of sales, and not left all year round. Mr. Basse replied that was correct.

Interested Parties:
There were no interested parties who wished to speak.
Board Action:
On Motion of Walker, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Alberty, Walker, Tyndall, Dillard, Hutson "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; no "absences") to APPROVE a Special Exception to permit outdoor sales (fireworks) in an IL district for a period of five years, as presented, finding it will be in harmony with the spirit and intent of the Code, and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare, on the following described property:

NE SW NW SW NW, Section 32, T-21-N, R-14-E, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*

Case No. 1947
Action Requested:
Variance of required minimum 30' of frontage on a public street or dedicated right-of-way to 0' for a lot-split. SECTION 207. STREET FRONTAGE REQUIRED – Use Unit 6, located 16822 E. 176th St. S.

Presentation:
Kurt Harris, 16822 E. 176th St., Bixby, Oklahoma, stated he owns five acres of heavily wooded property next to an eighty-acre tract. The neighbor is clearing and fencing in his eighty acres. Mr. Harris stated he is purchasing an additional thirty feet on the east boundary to maintain a buffer zone of trees and green space between his property and the eighty acre tract. The thirty feet is on a private road. Mr. Harris explained that the deed would be filed to show the thirty feet attached to his five acres to become one piece of property.

Interested Parties:
There were no interested parties who wished to speak.

Board Action:
On Motion of Hutson, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Alberty, Walker, Tyndall, Dillard, Hutson "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; no "absences") to APPROVE a Variance of required minimum 30' of frontage on a public street or dedicated right-of-way to 0' for a lot-split, based on the presentation, finding it will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or Comprehensive Plan, on the following described property:

Part NE SW, Beg. NE/c SW, thence W 466.69' S 466.69' E 466.69' N 466.69', POB, Section 35, T-17-N, R-14-E; And N/2 SE less, Beg. 1789' W, NE/c SE, thence S 416' E 657' N 416' W 657', POB, Section 35, T-17-N, R-14-E, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*
Case No. 1948

Action Requested:
Special Exception to allow a single-wide manufactured home in an RS zoned district. SECTION 410. PRINCIPAL USES PERMITTED IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS – Use Unit 9; and a Variance to allow two dwelling units on one lot of record. SECTION 208. ONE SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING PER LOT OF RECORD, located 6534 N. Lansing.

Presentation:
Solena Scott, 5934 N. Rockford, Turley, Oklahoma, stated the family owns the property. Her family plans to move into the mobile and live there to help a family member in the existing home.

Comments and Questions:
Mr. Alberty asked if they planned for this to be a temporary situation. Ms. Scott replied they planned for it to be permanent. He asked if they planned to split the property in the future. She replied that they did not plan to do a lot-split. He asked about the sewer system. She replied they would be on a separate septic system and the soil percolation test has already been done. He asked if they were aware they needed a building permit, to which she replied in the affirmative.

Interested Parties:
There were no interested parties who wished to speak.

Board Action:
On Motion of Walker, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Alberty, Walker, Tyndall, Dillard, Hutson "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; no "absences") to APPROVE a Special Exception to allow a single-wide manufactured home in an RS zoned district; and a Variance to allow two dwelling units on one lot of record, subject to DEQ approval, building permit, tie-downs, skirting, and is not approval for a lot-split in the future, finding several other similar properties, and finding it will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or Comprehensive Plan, on the following described property:

Lot 2, Block 8, North Turley Addition, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Case No. 1949

Action Requested:
Variance to allow two dwellings on one lot of record. SECTION 208. ONE SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING PER LOT OF RECORD – Use Unit 9 / 6, located 4781 S. 230th W. Pl.
Presentation:
George Ronald Huelsenbeck, 4781 S. 230th W. Pl., stated he wants to put a mobile home on his property for his son. He stated his son’s name is on the property also, and he does not want a lot-split. He added they have obtained a soil percolation test, for a separate septic system. He stated they would use the same water well.

Comments and Questions:
Mr. Alberty asked if he was aware they need a building permit. Mr. Huelsenbeck replied in the affirmative.

Interested Parties:
There were no interested parties who wished to speak.

Board Action:
On Motion of Walker, the Board voted 5-0-0 (Alberty, Walker, Tyndall, Dillard, Hutson "aye"; no "nays"; no "abstentions"; no "absences") to APPROVE a Variance to allow two dwellings on one lot of record, on condition for DEQ approval, building permit, tie-downs, and skirting, finding this is common in the neighborhood; and it will not cause substantial detriment to the public good or impair the purposes, spirit, and intent of the Code, or Comprehensive Plan, on the following described property:

A tract of land located in the W/2 SE/4 of Section 28, T-19-N, R-10-E of the IBM, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the U.S. Government survey thereof, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Beg. at a point on the S line of Section 28, 850.00' E of the SW/c of said SE/4; thence N 1209.00' to the POB; thence W 315.00'; thence N 771.00'; thence E 565.00'; thence S 935.00'; thence W 250.00'; thence N 164.00' to the POB.

**************

Mrs. Fernandez thanked the Board, Terry West, and Dick Blakely for their availability and assistance to her over the last two years. She announced to the Board that Jim Beach would be the staff person for the County Board beginning immediately.

**************

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:11 p.m.

Date approved: 5/21/02

Wayne Alberty
Chair